Sinco Technologies Pte Ltd. v. Sinco Electronics (Dongguan) Co. Ltd., et al

APPENDIX D.3

PLAINTIFF'S DISC. DESIGNATIONS & DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY	
2	LAEL D. ANDARA (SBN 215416)	
_	lael.andara@ropers.com	
3	ROBIN PEARSON (SBN 146704) robin.pearson@ropers.com	
4	DANIEL E. GAITAN (SBN 326413)	
7	daniel.gaitan@ropers.com	
5	545 Middlefield Road, Suite 175	
6	Menlo Park, CA 94025	
	Telephone: (650) 364-8200	
7	Facsimile: (650) 780-1701	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD.	
9		
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD,	Case No. 3:17CV5517
14	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF SINCO TECT PTE LTD'S NOTICE OF
15	V.	USE AT TRIAL THE FOI WRITTEN DISCOVERY
16	SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO.,	
	LTD.; XINGLE ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGKE	PRETRIAL HEARING Date: October 5, 2021
17	FLECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD.	Time: 2:30 n m

SINCOO ELECTRONICS TECHNOLÓGY CO., LTD.; MUI LIANG TJOA (an individual); NG CHER YONG aka CY NG (an individual); and LIEW YEW SOON aka MARK LÍEW (an individual),

Defendants.

HNOLOGIES INTENT TO LLOWING **RESPONSES**

Place: Courtroom 5 – 17th Floor

Hon. Edward M. Chen

TRIAL DATE November 1, 2021

Plaintiff, SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD ("SINCO"), provide notice that pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and (e) and the Federal Rules of Evidence, as to written discovery responses by Defendants as set forth in detail as follows, which Plaintiff anticipates it may use at trial:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PERS | A Professional Corporation

- I. XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.
 - Defendant XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.'s Objections and A. Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Request for Admissions. Dated May 9, 2019.
 - Request and Response to Admission No. 1
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 13
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 14
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 15
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 19
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 26
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 28 \rightarrow
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 29
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 30
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 31
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 32
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 33
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 34
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 37
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 38
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 48.1 (12:20-17)
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 48.2 (12:18-28)
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 48.3 (13:2-12)
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 42
 - Request and Response to for Admission No. 44
 - Defendant XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.'s Objections and В. Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. Dated March 7, 2019.
 - Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 6



1	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 7
2	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 9
3	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 10
4	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 12
5	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 13
6	С.	Defendant XingKe Electronics (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.'s Supplemental Objections
7		and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. Dated May 10, 2019.
8	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 2
9	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 5
10	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 11
11	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 12
12	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 15
13	•	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 16
14	II. Mui Liang Tjoa	
15	A.	Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of
16		Request for Admissions. Dated November 6, 2018.
17	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 2
18	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 3
19	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 5
20	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 6
21	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 7
22	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 8
23	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 11
24	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 12
25	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 16
26	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 35
27	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 36
28	•	Request and Response to for Admission No. 44

1	Request and Response to for Admission No. 48	
2	B. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Resp	onses to Plaintiff's Second Set
3	of Request for Admissions. Dated January 21, 201	8.
4	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 57	
5	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 59	
6	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 62	
7	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 67	
8	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 73	
9	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 79	
10	• Request and Response to for Admission No. 81	
11	C. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Objections and Resp.	onses to Plaintiff's Set One
12	Interrogatories. Dated December 10, 2018.	
13	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 1	
14	o RFA #8.	
15	○ RFA #9.	
16	o RFA #33.	
17	o RFA #36.	
18	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 4	
19	• Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 7	
20	D. Defendant Mui Liang Tjoa's Amended Objections	and Responses to Plaintiff's
21	Set One Interrogatories. Dated December 10, 2018	8.
22	Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 1	
23	o RFA #1.	
24	o RFA #4.	
25	○ RFA #39.	
26	o RFA #41.	
27		

6ase 3:17-cv-05517-ENET One Interrogatories of a tech F physics of 2019.

Request and Response to Interrogatory No. 2

NOTICE WR

- 5 -

7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DOUGLAS A. WINTHROP (SBN 183532) Douglas.Winthrop@arnoldporter.com JEREMY T. KAMRAS (SBN 237377) Jeremy.Kamras@arnoldporter.com JOSEPH FARRIS (SBN 263405) Joseph.Farris@arnoldporter.com ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: 415.471.3100 Facsimile: 415.471.3400 Attorneys for Defendants XINGKE ELECTRONIC (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD., formerly known as SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LT LIEW YEW SOON aka, MARK LIEW, NG CHER	D.,
10	YONG. aka CY NG, and MUI LIANG TJOA aka I TJOA	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION
15	SINICO TECHNIOLOGIES PTE LTD	Case No. 3:17-CV-05517-EMC
16	SINCO TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD.,	
17	Plaintiff,	Action Filed: September 22, 2017
18	VS.	DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO
19	SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGKE ELECTRONICS	USE AT TRIAL CERTAIN WRITTEN DISCOVERY RESPONSES
20	(DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.; XINGKE	
21	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.; SINCOO ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY CO.,	Date: October 5, 2021 Time: 2:30 p.m.
22	LTD.; MUI LIANG TJOA (an individual); NG CHER YONG aka CY NG (an individual); and	Place: Courtroom 5, 17th Floor
23	LIEW YEW SOON aka MARK LIEW (an	Judge: Honorable Edward M. Chen
24	individual),	Trial: November 1, 2021
25	Defendants.	
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
20 27		
28		

1	Defen
2	Discovery De
3	and Defendan
4	objections wit
5	GENERAL (
6	To the
7	relevant suppl
8	and counter-d
9	specifically id
10	specifically li
11	I. Reque
12	RFA I
13	3 re: SinCoo.
14	RFA I
15	2 re: Tradema
16	RFA I
17	3 re: SinCoo.
18	RFA I
19	3 re: SinCoo.
20	RFA I
21	No. 2 re: Trac
22	RFA I
23	No. 2 re: Trac
24	RFA I

26

27

28

Defendants hereby provide their objections to Plaintiff SinCo Technologies Pte. Ltd.'s Discovery Designations exchanged on September 3, 2021. These objections are preliminary only and Defendants reserve their rights to assert any additional objections, and to supplement these objections with additional facts and authority.

GENERAL OBJECTION / COUNTER-DESIGNATION

To the extent that Plaintiff has designated a discovery response without designating any relevant supplemental or amended responses, Defendants object under the rule of completeness, and counter-designate their supplemental or amended responses. Defendants have attempted to specifically identify relevant instances below, but counter-designate relevant responses even if not specifically listed.

I. Request for Admission ("RFA") to XingKe, Set One

- **RFA No. 15**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
- **RFA No. 19**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 28**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
- **RFA No. 38**. Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
- **RFA No. 48.1.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 48.2.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 48.3.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
- **RFA No. 42.** Objection Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. *See* Defs' Motion in Limine No. 2 re: Trademark Proceedings.

1	RFA No. 44. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
2	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
3	II. Interrogatories ("ROG") to XingKe, Set One
4	ROG No. 6. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental
5	responses to this ROG should be included as well.
6	ROG No. 9. Objection Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental
7	responses to this ROG should be included as well.
8	ROG No. 10. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental
9	responses to this ROG should be included as well.
10	ROG No. 12. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. XingKe's Supplemental
11	responses to this ROG should be included as well.
12	ROG No. 13. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. See Defs' Motion in Limine
13	No. 3 re: SinCoo.
14	III. Request for Admission ("RFA") to Tjoa, Set One
15	RFA No. 8. Objection - reference to illegally obtained secret recording.
16	RFA No. 11. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
17	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
18	RFA No. 12. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
19	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
20	IV. Request for Admission ("RFA") to Tjoa, Set Two
21	RFA No. 57. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
22	3 re: SinCoo.
23	RFA No. 59. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
24	3 re: SinCoo.
25	RFA No. 62. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
26	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
27	RFA No. 79. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
28	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.

1	RFA No. 81. Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. <i>See</i> Defs' Motion in Limine No
2	2 re: Trademark Proceedings.
3	V. <u>Interrogatories ("ROG") to Tjoa, Set One</u>
4	General Objection. Plaintiff cited Amended Interrogatory Reponses from Tjoa dated 12-
5	10-2018 and 1-10-2018, but we were unable to locate any amended interrogatory responses of that
6	date. Please provide copies of any reference documents, so that we can review to verify if they do
7	exist. In the interim, Defendants reserve all objections.
8	ROG No. 1 (RFA 41). Objection - Irrelevant / Unduly Prejudicial. See Defs' Motion in
9	Limine No. 3 re: SinCoo.
10	ROG No. 4. Objection / Counter-Designation - Completeness. Tjoa's Supplemental
11	responses to this interrogatory should be included as well.
12	
13	Dated: September 9, 2021. ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
14	By: /s/ Douglas A. Winthrop
15	DOUGLAS A. WINTHROP
16	Attorneys for Defendants XINGKE ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO.
17	LTD., formerly known as SINCO ELECTRONICS (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.,
18	LIEW YEW SOON aka, MARK LIEW, NG CHER YONG. aka CY NG, and MUI LIANG
19	TJOA aka ML TJOA
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
40	
- 1	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served a copy of the foregoing DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE AT TRIAL CERTAIN WRITTEN DISCOVERY RESPONSES on September 9, 2021. /s/ Douglas A. Winthrop DOUGLAS A. WINTHROP